

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/646,591 | 08/22/2003 | Kenneth William Baldwin | 9956 | | |
| 7590 05/26/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | VILLIAM BALDWIN | LUM VANNUCCI, LEE SIN YEE | | | |
| 529 TALBOT ROAD STEVENSVILLE, MD 21666 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| OIDIDIOIADD, IID ZIVV | | | 3611 | | |

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applicatio | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|------------|---|-------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/646,59 | 1 | BALDWIN, KENNETH WILLIAM | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Lee Lum | | 3611 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 August 2003</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 5) [| Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | • | | | | |
| 9) 🔲 - | The specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| • | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT | | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D | | ⁻ O-152) | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date | ~10/5B/08) | 6) Other: | . a.c.ii. / ippiiodiioii (1-1 | , | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/646,591

Art Unit: 3611

ELECTION/RESTRICTION

1. This application contains claims*** directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, as depicted in figs 1-9, Species II, as depicted in figs 10, 10A.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the Claims appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

***Claims 7-21 and 23-25 are improper dependent claims, and therefore it is indeterminable to which species each refers.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,591

Art Unit: 3611

2. Communication with USPTO/Examiner

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ms. Lum at 703 305-0232, M-F, 830-530. Our fax number is 703 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature, or relating to the status of this application/proceeding, should be directed to Customer Service at 703 306-5771.

Lesleyd. Hohris Supernsory Patent Examiner Technology (enter 3600

Ms. Lee S. Lum Examiner 5/19/04

LESLEY D. MOHRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3500